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Abstract: In this paper we have studied the properties of covariant almost analytic vector field on Q-quasi umbilical hypersurface $M$ of a Sasakian manifold $\tilde{M}$ with $(\phi, g, u, v, \lambda)$-structure and obtained the scalars $\alpha$ and $\beta$ using $1-form$ covariant almost analytic for the hypersurface $M$ to be totally umbilical and cylindrical.
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1. Introduction: Let $\tilde{M}$ be a $(2n+1)$-dimensional Sasakian manifold with a tensor field $\phi$ of type $(1,1)$, a fundamental vector field $\xi$ and $1$-form $\eta$ such that

\begin{align}
\eta(\xi) &= 1 \\
\phi^2 &= -I + \eta \otimes \xi
\end{align}

where $I$ denotes the identity transformation.

If $\tilde{M}$ admits a Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}$, such that

\begin{align}
\tilde{g}(\tilde{\phi}X, \tilde{\phi}Y) &= \tilde{g}(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \\
\tilde{g}(X, \xi) &= \eta(X)
\end{align}

then $\tilde{M}$ is said to admit a $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\xi}, \eta, \tilde{g}$-structure called contact metric structure.

If moreover,

\begin{align}
(\nabla_X \tilde{\phi})Y &= \tilde{g}(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X \\
\nabla_X \xi &= -\tilde{\phi}X
\end{align}

where $\nabla$ denotes the Riemannian connection of the Riemannian metric $g$, then $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\xi}, \eta, \tilde{g})$ is called a Sasakian manifold [9]. If we define $'F(X, Y) = g(\phi X, Y)$, then in addition to above relation we find

\begin{align}
'F(X, Y) + 'F(Y, X) &= 0 \\
'F(X, \phi Y) &= 'F(Y, \phi X) \\
'F(\phi X, \phi Y) &= 'F(X, Y)
\end{align}

2. Hypersurface of a Sasakian manifold with $(\phi, g, u, v, \lambda)$-structure:

Let us consider a $2n$-dimensional manifold $M$ embedded in $\tilde{M}$ with embedding $b : M \to \tilde{M}$. The map $b$ induces a linear transformation map $B$ (called Jacobian map), $B : T_p \to T_{bp}$. Let an affine normal $N$ of $M$ is in such a way that $\tilde{\phi}N$ is always tangent to the hypersurface and satisfying the linear transformations

\begin{align}
\tilde{\phi}BX &= B\phi X + u(X)N
\end{align}
where $\phi$ is a $(1, 1)$ type tensor; $U, V$ are vector fields; $u, v$ are $1-form$ and $\lambda$ is a $C^\infty-$ function. If $u \neq 0$, $M$, is called a noninvariant hypersurface of $\tilde{M}$ [1].

Operating (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) by $\tilde{\phi}$ and using (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) and taking tangent normal parts separately, we get the following induced structure on $M$,

### (2.5)
- $\phi^2 X = -X + u(X)U + v(X)V$
- $u(\phi X) = \lambda v(X)$, $v(\phi X) = -\eta(N) u(X)$
- $\phi U = -\eta(N) V$, $\phi V = \lambda U$
- $u(U) = 1 - \lambda \eta(N)$, $u(V) = 0$
- $v(U) = 0$, $v(V) = 1 - \lambda \eta(N)$

and from (1.4) and (1.5), we get the induced metric $g$ on $M$, i.e.,

### (2.6)
- $g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y) - v(X)v(Y)$
- $g(U, X) = u(X)$, $g(V, X) = v(X)$.

If we consider $\eta(N) = \lambda$, we get the following structures on $M$.

### (2.8)
- $\phi^2 = -I + u \otimes U + v \otimes V$
- $\phi U = -\lambda V$, $\phi V = \lambda U$
- $u \circ \phi = \lambda v$, $v \circ \phi = -\lambda u$
- $u(U) = 1 - \lambda^2$, $u(V) = 0$
- $v(U) = 0$, $v(V) = 1 - \lambda^2$.

A manifold $M$ with a metric $g$ satisfying (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) is called manifold with $(\phi, g, u, v, \lambda)-structure$[2]. Let $\nabla$ be the induced connection on the hypersurface $M$ of the affine connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ of $\tilde{M}$.

Now using Gauss and Weingarten’s equations

### (2.9)
- $\tilde{\nabla}_{BX}BY = B\nabla_{X}Y + h(X, Y)N$

### (2.10)
- $\tilde{\nabla}_{BX}N = BHX + w(X)N$, where $g(HY, Z) = h(Y, Z)$.

Here $h$ and $H$ are the second fundamental tensors of type $(0, 2)$ and $(1, 1)$ and $w$ is a $1-form$. Now differentiating (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) covariantly and using (2.9), (2.10), (1.6) and reusing (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we get
\begin{align*}
(2.11) \quad (\nabla_Y \phi)(X) &= v(X)Y - g(X, Y)V - h(X, Y)U - u(X)HY \\
(2.12) \quad (\nabla_Y u)(X) &= -h(\phi X, Y) - u(X)w(Y) - \lambda g(X, Y) \\
(2.13) \quad (\nabla_Y v)(X) &= g(\phi Y, X) + \lambda h(X, Y) \\
(2.14) \quad \nabla Y U &= w(Y)U - \phi HY - \lambda Y \\
(2.15) \quad \nabla Y V &= \phi Y + \lambda HY \\
(2.16) \quad h(Y, V) &= u(Y) - Y\lambda - \lambda w(Y) \\
(2.17) \quad h(Y, U) &= -u(HY)
\end{align*}

Since $h(X, Y) = g(HX, Y)$, then from (1.5), and (2.17), we get
\begin{equation}
(2.18) \quad h(Y, U) = 0 \Rightarrow HU = 0.
\end{equation}

3. Q - Quasi Umbilical hypersurface: If
\begin{equation}
(3.1) \quad h(X, Y) = \alpha g(X, Y) + \beta q(X)q(Y)
\end{equation}
where $\alpha, \beta$ are scalar functions, $q$ is 1-form, then $M$ is called Quasi-umbilical hypersurface and if $g(Q, X) = q(X)$, where $Q$ is vector field, then $M$ is called $Q$-quasi umbilical hypersurface. If $\alpha = 0$, $\beta \neq 0$, then $Q$-quasi umbilical hypersurface $M$ is called cylindrical hypersurface. If $\alpha \neq 0$, $\beta = 0$, then $Q$-quasi-umbilical hypersurface $M$ is called totally umbilical and if $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 0$, then $Q$-quasi umbilical hypersurface is totally geodesic [9].

Using (3.1) in (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17) we get
\begin{align*}
(3.2) \quad (\nabla Y \phi)(X) &= v(X)Y - g(X, Y)V - \{\alpha g(X, Y) + \beta q(X)q(Y)\}U \\
&\quad - u(X)\{\alpha Y + \beta q(Y)Q\} \\
(3.3) \quad (\nabla_Y u)(X) &= -\{\alpha g(X, Y) + \beta q(X)q(Y)\} - u(X)w(Y) - \lambda g(X, Y) \\
(3.4) \quad (\nabla_Y v)(X) &= g(\phi Y, X) + \lambda\{\alpha g(X, Y) + \beta q(X)q(Y)\} \\
(3.5) \quad \nabla Y U &= w(Y)U - \{\alpha \phi Y + \beta q(Y)Q\} - \lambda Y \\
(3.6) \quad \nabla Y V &= \phi Y + \lambda\{\alpha Y + \beta q(Y)Q\} \\
(3.7) \quad h(Y, V) &= \alpha g(V, Y) + \beta q(V)q(Y) \\
(3.8) \quad |u(Q)|^2 &= -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(1 - \lambda^2)
\end{align*}

Also from (3.1), (2.16) and (2.8)(d) we get
\begin{equation}
(3.9) \quad w(U) = \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{\lambda} - \frac{U\lambda}{\lambda}
\end{equation}
4. Covariant almost analytic vector field on $Q$–quasi umbilical hypersurface: 1 – form $u$ and $v$ are said to be covariant almost analytic if

\begin{align}
(4.1) \quad & u\{(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \phi)(X)\} = (\nabla_{\phi X} u)(Y) - (\nabla_X u)(\phi Y) \\
\text{and} \quad & v\{(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \phi)(X)\} = (\nabla_{\phi X} v)(Y) - (\nabla_X v)(\phi Y)
\end{align}

**Theorem 4.1:** On $Q$–quasi umbilical hypersurface $M$ with $(\phi, g, u, v, \lambda)$–structure of a Sasakian manifold $M$, if 1–form $u$ is covariant almost analytic, then we have

\begin{align}
(4.3) \quad & v(Y)u(X) - v(X)u(Y) = -2\alpha g(\phi X, \phi Y) - 2\lambda g(\phi X, Y) - \beta q(\phi Y)q(\phi X) \\
& - \beta q(Y)q(X) + 2\beta q(X)u(Y)u(Q) \\
& - \beta u(X)q(Y) - u(Y)w(\phi X) + u(\phi Y)w(X)
\end{align}

**Proof:** From (3.2), we have

\begin{align}
(\nabla_Y \phi)(X) = v(X)Y - g(X, Y)V - \{\alpha g(X, Y) + \beta q(X)q(Y)\}U \\
& - u(X)\{\alpha Y + \beta q(Y)Q\}
\end{align}

and

\begin{align}
(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = v(Y)X - g(Y, X)V - \{\alpha g(X, Y) + \beta q(Y)q(X)\}U \\
& - u(Y)\{\alpha X + \beta q(X)Q\}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
: \quad (\nabla_X \phi)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \phi)(X) = & v(Y)X - v(X)Y - u(Y)\{\alpha X + \beta q(X)Q\} \\
& + u(X)\{\alpha Y + \beta q(Y)Q\}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
(4.4) \quad & u\{(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \phi)(X)\} = v(Y)u(X) - v(X)u(Y) \\
& + \beta\{u(q)(Y) - q(u)(Y)\}u(Q)
\end{align}

Also from (3.4), we have

\begin{align}
(\nabla_X u)(Y) = & -\{\alpha g(\phi Y, X) + \beta q(\phi Y)q(X)\} - u(Y)w(X) - \lambda g(X, Y) \\
or \quad & (\nabla_{\phi X} u)(Y) = -\alpha g(\phi X, \phi Y) - \beta q(\phi Y)q(\phi X) - u(Y)w(\phi X) - \lambda g(\phi X, Y)
\end{align}

\begin{align}
(\nabla_X u)(\phi Y) = & -\alpha g(\phi^2 Y, X) - \beta q(\phi^2 Y)q(X) - u(\phi Y)w(X) - \lambda g(\phi X, Y) \\
\text{or} \quad & (\nabla_X u)(\phi Y) = \alpha g(Y, X) - \alpha u(X)u(Y) - \alpha v(X)v(Y) + \beta q(Y)q(X) \\
& - \beta u(Y)q(U)q(X) - \beta v(Y)q(V)q(X) - u(\phi Y)w(X) - \lambda g(X, \phi Y)
\end{align}

\begin{align}
(\nabla_X u)(\phi Y) = & \alpha g(\phi X, \phi Y) + \beta q(Y)q(X) - \beta u(Y)q(U)q(X) \\
& - u(\phi Y)w(X) - \lambda g(X, \phi Y)
\end{align}

\begin{align}
(4.5) \quad & (\nabla_{\phi X} u)(Y) - (\nabla_X u)(\phi Y) = -2\alpha g(\phi X, \phi Y) - \beta q(\phi Y)q(\phi X) - \beta q(Y)q(X) \\
& + \beta u(Y)q(U)q(X) - u(Y)w(\phi X) + u(\phi Y)w(X) \\
& - \lambda g(\phi X, Y) + \lambda g(X, \phi Y)
\end{align}

using (4.1) in (4.4) and (4.5), we get (4.3).

**Corollary 4.1:** On $Q$–quasi umbilical hypersurface $M$ with $(\phi, g, u, v, \lambda)$–structure of a Sasakian manifold $M$, if 1–form $u$ is covariant almost analytic, then we also
have

(4.6) \[ \nabla_U \lambda = 2 \lambda^2. \]

**Proof:** Put \( X = U \) and \( Y = V \) in (4.3) and using (2.8)(d), (2.8)(e), we get

\[
(1 - \lambda^2)^2 = -2 \alpha 0 + 2 \lambda^2 (1 - \lambda^2) - \beta 0 - 0 + \lambda (1 - \lambda^2) w(U).
\]

Using (3.9), we get

\[
(1 - \lambda^2) = 2 \lambda^2 + \lambda \left\{ \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{\lambda} - \frac{(U \lambda)}{\lambda} \right\}
\]

or

\[
(1 - \lambda^2) = 2 \lambda^2 - 1 + \lambda^2 = - U \lambda
\]

or

\[
2 \lambda^2 = U \lambda
\]

or

\[
U \lambda = 2 \lambda^2
\]

or

\[
\nabla_U \lambda = 2 \lambda^2.
\]

**Theorem 4.2:** On cylindrical hypersurface \( M \) with \((\phi, g, u, v, \lambda)\)-structure of a Sasakian manifold \( \tilde{M} \), with covariant almost analytic vector fields \( U, V \), we have

(4.7) \[ v(Y) u(X) - v(X) u(Y) = -2 \lambda g(\phi X, Y) - \beta q(\phi X) q(\phi Y) - \beta q(Y) q(X) - \beta u(X) q(Y) - u(Y) w(\phi X) + u(\phi Y) w(X) \]

**Proof:** Putting \( \alpha = 0 \) in (4.3) and using \( u(Q) = 0 \), we get (4.7).

**Corollary 4.2:** On cylindrical hypersurface \( M \) with \((\phi, g, u, v, \lambda)\)-structure of a Sasakian manifold \( \tilde{M} \), with covariant almost analytic vector fields \( U, V \), we also have

(4.8) \[ V \lambda = 0, \text{ i.e. } \lambda \text{ is covariant constant along } V \]

(4.9) \[ q(Q) = 0. \]

**Proof:** Putting \( X = V \) in (4.7), we get

\[
-(1 - \lambda^2) u(Y) = -2 \lambda g(\phi V, Y) - \beta q(\phi Y) q(\phi V) - \beta q(V) q(Y) - \beta u(V) q(Y) - u(Y) w(\phi V) + u(\phi Y) w(V)
\]

or

\[
-(1 - \lambda^2) u(Y) = -2 \lambda^2 u(Y) - \lambda u(Y) w(U) + u(\phi Y) w(V)
\]

or

\[
(3 \lambda^2 - 1) u(Y) = - \lambda u(Y) \left( \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{\lambda} - \frac{U \lambda}{\lambda} \right) + u(\phi Y) \left( \frac{-V \lambda}{\lambda} \right)
\]

or

\[
(3 \lambda^2 - 1) u(Y) = - u(Y) (1 - \lambda^2 - (U \lambda)) - u(\phi Y) \left( \frac{V \lambda}{\lambda} \right)
\]

or

\[
(3 \lambda^2 - 1 + 1 - \lambda^2) U = (U \lambda) U + \left( \frac{V \lambda}{\lambda} \right) \phi U
\]
or \[ 2\lambda^2 U = (U\lambda) U + \left( \frac{V\lambda}{\lambda} \right) \phi U \]

using (4.6), we get \( V\lambda = 0 \), i.e. \( \lambda \) is covariant constant along \( V \).

Also from (4.7), we get

\[
u(X)V - v(X)U = -2\lambda \phi X + \beta \phi Q q(\phi X) - \beta q(X)Q - \beta u(X)Q \\
- w(\phi X) U - w(X)\phi U \]

Contracting with respect to \( X \), we get

\[
0 = \beta q(\phi^2 Q) - \beta q(Q) - \beta u(Q) + \lambda w(V) + \lambda w(V) \\
\text{or} \quad \beta q(Q) = -(V\lambda) = 0 \\
\text{since } \beta \neq 0, \text{ so } q(Q) = 0.
\]

**Theorem 4.3 :** On \( Q \)-quasi umbilical hypersurface \( M \) with \((\phi, g, u, v, \lambda)\)-structure of a Sasakian manifold \( \tilde{M} \), where \( 1 \)-form is covariant almost analytic. If \( M \) is totally umbilical, then we have

\[
v(Y)u(X) - v(X)u(Y) = -2\alpha \phi X, \phi Y - 2\lambda \phi X, Y \\
- u(Y)w(\phi X) + u(\phi Y)w(X) \]

(4.10)

\[
\alpha = -\frac{(V\lambda)}{1+\lambda^2} \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha = -d(\tan^{-1} \lambda) V.
\]

**Proof :** Putting \( \beta = 0 \) in (4.3), we get (4.10). Further putting \( X = U \) in (4.10), we get

\[
(1 - \lambda^2)v(Y) = 2\alpha \lambda v(\phi Y) + 2\lambda^2 v(Y) + \lambda u(Y)w(V) + u(\phi Y)w(U) \\
(1 - \lambda^2)v(Y) = -2\alpha \lambda^2 u(Y) + 2\lambda^2 v(Y) \\
+ \lambda u(Y) \left\{ \alpha \frac{(\lambda^2 - 1)}{\lambda} - \frac{(V\lambda)}{\lambda} \right\} + u(\phi Y) \left\{ 1 - \lambda^2 \frac{(U\lambda)}{\lambda} \right\}
\]

or

\[
(1 - \lambda^2 - 2\lambda^2 - 1 + \lambda^2)v(Y) = \{ -2\alpha \lambda^2 + \alpha \lambda^2 - \alpha \} u(Y) - u(Y)(V\lambda) \\
- v(Y)(U\lambda)
\]

or

\[-2\lambda^2 V = -\alpha (1 + \lambda^2) U - (V\lambda) U - (U\lambda) V \]

Operating by \( u \)

\[
or \quad 0 = -\alpha (1 + \lambda^2) (1 - \lambda^2) - (V\lambda) (1 - \lambda^2)
\]

or

\[
\alpha = -\frac{(V\lambda)}{1+\lambda^2}
\]

or

\[
\alpha = -d(\tan^{-1} \lambda) (V)
\]
Corollary 4.3: On $Q$-quasi umbilical hypersurface $M$ with $(\phi, g, u, v, \lambda)$-structure of a Sasakian manifold $\tilde{M}$, where $1$-form $u$ is covariant almost analytic. If $M$ is totally umbilical, then we also have

\begin{equation}
\alpha = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2n-1}}d\left(\tan^{-1}\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2n-1}}\right)(V)
\end{equation}

Proof: From (4.10), we have

$$u(X)V - v(X)U = 2\alpha \phi^2 X - 2\lambda \phi X - w(\phi X)U + \lambda w(X)V$$

Contracting with respect to $X$

$$0 = 2\alpha \left(-2n + 4\alpha (1 - \lambda^2)\right) + 2\lambda w(V)$$

or

$$0 = -2\alpha n + 2\alpha (1 - \lambda^2) + \lambda w(V)$$

or

$$-2\alpha n + 2\alpha (1 - \lambda^2) + \lambda \left(\frac{(\lambda^2 - 1)}{\lambda} - \frac{(V\lambda)}{\lambda}\right) = 0$$

or

$$\alpha (1 - \lambda^2 - 2n) = (V\lambda)$$

or

$$\alpha = \frac{V\lambda}{1 - \lambda^2 - 2n} = \frac{d\lambda}{(2n-1) + \lambda^2}$$

thus

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2n-1}}d\left(\tan^{-1}\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2n-1}}\right)(V).$$

Theorem 4.4: On a $Q$-quasi umbilical noninvariant hypersurface $M$ with $(\phi, g, u, v, \lambda)$-structure of a Sasakian manifold $\tilde{M}$, If $1$-form $v$ is covariant almost analytic, then we have

\begin{equation}
\alpha\{u(X)v(Y) - u(Y)v(X)\} = -2g(\phi X, \phi Y) + 2\lambda \alpha g(\phi X, Y) + \lambda \beta \{q(\phi X)q(Y) - q(X)q(\phi Y)\}
\end{equation}

Proof: From (3.2), we get

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \phi)(X) = v(Y)X - v(X)Y - u(Y)\{\alpha X + \beta q(X)Q\} + u(X)\{\alpha Y + \beta q(Y)Q\}$$

\begin{equation}
v \{(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \phi)(X)\} = \alpha\{u(X)v(Y) - u(Y)v(X)\}
\end{equation}

from (3.4), we get

$$(\nabla_{\phi X} v)(Y) = -g(X, Y) + u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y) + \lambda \alpha g(\phi X, Y) + \lambda \beta q(\phi X)q(Y)$$

and

$$(\nabla_X v)(\phi Y) = g(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y) - v(X)v(Y) + 2\lambda g(X, \phi Y) + \lambda \beta q(X)q(\phi Y)$$
therefore

\[ (\nabla_{\phi X}v)(Y) - (\nabla_{X}v)(\phi Y) = -2g(\phi X, \phi Y) + \lambda\beta\{q(\phi X)q(Y) - q(X)q(\phi Y)\} + 2\lambda g(\phi X, Y) \]

Using (4.2) in (4.14) and (4.15), we get (4.13).

**Theorem 4.5 :** Let \( 1 \)-form \( v \) is covariant almost analytic on \( Q \)-quasi umbilical noninvariant hypersurface \( M \) with \((\phi, g, u, v, \lambda)\)-structure of a Sasakian manifold \( \tilde{M} \), and if it is cylindrical also, we have

\[ 2g(\phi X, \phi Y) = \lambda\beta\{q(\phi X)q(Y) - q(X)q(\phi Y)\}, \text{ with } X \neq U. \]

**Proof :** Putting \( \alpha = 0 \) in (4.13), we get (4.16). Further if \( X = U \), then from (4.16), we get

\[ 2g(\phi U, \phi Y) = \lambda\beta\{-\lambda q(V)q(Y) - q(U)q(\phi Y)\} \]

or

\[ -2\lambda g(V, \phi Y) = 0 \]

or

\[ -2\lambda v(\phi Y) = 0 \]

since \( v(\phi Y) \neq 0 \) and \( \lambda \neq 0 \), therefore \( X \neq U \).

**Theorem 4.6 :** Let \( 1 \)-form \( v \) is covariant almost analytic on \( Q \)-quasi umbilical noninvariant hypersurface \( M \) with \((\phi, g, u, v, \lambda)\)-structure of a Sasakian manifold, and if it is totally umbilical, then we have

\[ \alpha\{u(X)v(Y) - v(X)u(Y)\} = -2g(\phi X, \phi Y) + 2\lambda g(\phi X, Y), \text{ with } X \neq U. \]

**Proof :** Putting \( \beta = 0 \) in (3.13), we get (3.17). If we take \( X = U \) in (4.17), we get

\[ \alpha\{(1 - \lambda^2) v(Y) = 2\lambda v(\phi Y) - 2\lambda \alpha v(Y) \}

or

\[ \alpha\{(1 - \lambda^2 + 2\lambda) v(Y) = 2\lambda v(\phi Y) \}

or

\[ \alpha\{(\lambda^2 - 2\lambda - 1) v(Y) = -2\lambda \phi V = -2\lambda^2 U \]

i.e. \( V \) and \( U \) are linearly dependent, which is a contradiction. Thus \( X \neq U \).
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