Shaping and capturing Leidenfrost drops with a magnet
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Liquid oxygen, which is intrinsically paramagnetic, also undergoes Leidenfrost effect at room temperature. In this article, we first study the deformation of oxygen drops in a magnetic field via an effective capillary length, that includes the magnetic force. In a second part, we show that these ultra-mobile drops passing above a magnet significantly slow down and can even be trapped if slow enough. The critical velocity below which a drop is captured is determined from the deformation induced by the field.
I. INTRODUCTION

Liquid oxygen is known for its paramagnetic properties since the pioneer work of James Dewar, who first noticed that it is attracted by the poles of an electromagnet. Moreover, because of its low boiling point \( T = -183^\circ \text{C} \) at atmospheric pressure, an oxygen drop on a substrate at room temperature rapidly evaporates, forming a cushion of vapour on which it levitates, a phenomenon known as the Leidenfrost effect, that was reported for the first time in 1756 and that continues to inspire research nowadays. Such a drop achieves a perfect non-wetting situation, where there is no contact between the liquid and its solid support. As a consequence, drops adopt a very rounded shape and they are extremely mobile. Despite these remarkable properties, liquid oxygen has been much less studied than other magnetic fluids such as ferrofluids (colloidal suspension of ferromagnetic nanoparticles), which are liquid at room temperature and have a much higher magnetic susceptibility (roughly 100 times higher than liquid oxygen). Liquid oxygen has nonetheless been studied in the framework of magnetic compensation of gravity, surface instabilities, and pumping with magnetic field. Takeda et al. showed that the surface tension of liquid oxygen is constant \( (\gamma = 13 \text{ mN/m at } -183^\circ \text{C}) \) under uniform magnetic field up to 5 T. Its density \( \rho \) is 1140 kg/m\(^3\) at the boiling point. In this article, we study how the shape and mobility of oxygen drops are influenced by a magnet, which allows us to control them in a non-intrusive way. In a first part, we study how the shape of an oxygen drop is modified under a magnetic field, and we relate this deformation to the slowing down and capture of mobile drops in a second part.

II. STATIC SHAPE OF OXYGEN DROPS

Liquid oxygen is obtained by distillation of air using liquid nitrogen, which boils at \(-196^\circ \text{C}\). A copper sheet of millimetric thickness is folded and welded to obtain a cone of about 10 cm height and width. It is then filled with liquid nitrogen: the cone temperature quickly reaches \(-196^\circ \text{C}\), that is, 13°C below the boiling point of oxygen present in the air, which therefore liquefies on the external surface of the cone. Liquid oxygen drains along the copper and drips at the tip, where it is collected and directly used. We can imagine the same experiment with other magnetic fluids (such as ferrofluids or paramagnetic salt solutions) provided the substrate temperature is high compared to the boiling point of the liquid, and that the magnetic properties are not affected by heat — we can also use another mechanism than Leidenfrost effect to reduce friction (with superhydrophobic surfaces for example).

Without magnetic field, the shape of an oxygen drop is dictated by a balance between gravity and liquid/air surface tension \( \gamma \). As seen in figure 1a, a drop of radius \( R \) smaller than the capillary length \( a = \sqrt{\gamma/\rho g} = 1.1 \text{ mm} \), is almost spherical except for a small region at the bottom, of typical size \( R^2/a \). We notice the presence of the vapour film, of typical thickness 100 \( \mu \text{m} \), between the drop and its support. A small cloud is visible around the drop resulting from the condensation of water vapour present in air. Large drops are deformed by gravity and look like puddles (not shown here), whose thickness \( h \) is fixed by a balance between gravity and capillarity: in the limit where \( h \ll R \), we can approximate the shape of this gravity-dominated puddle by a cylinder of volume \( \Omega = \pi R^2 h \), whose total energy \( E \approx 2\gamma \Omega/h + \rho g \Omega h/2 \) is minimal for \( h = 2a \).

A first experiment consists of approaching a magnet below an oxygen drop such as the one in figure 1a. We use a cylindrical neodymium magnet, 3 cm in diameter and 1 cm thick, generating a magnetic field \( B = 0.5 \text{ T} \) at the surface of the magnet (measured with a
FIG. 1. Side views of liquid oxygen drops on a glass plate. a) Liquid oxygen drop of equatorial radius $R = 0.6$ mm on a glass plate at room temperature. b) The same drop in the presence of a magnet (in black, in the picture) 1 mm below it. The drop is deformed and is no longer spherical. c) Same set-up as before turned upside down. The drop holds against gravity and its shape is almost the same as is b). The solid line represents 1 mm in each picture.

Hall effect Teslameter) and decreasing on a length-scale similar to the size of the magnet. As seen in figure b), the drop is flattened and looks like a puddle, as if the presence of the magnet had modified the capillary length of oxygen. Figure c) shows the same set-up turned upside down, holding the drop against gravity. The shape of the drop is almost the same as before, suggesting that magnetic effects dominate gravity. The magnet exerts a force per unit volume $f_m$:

$$f_m = \frac{\chi}{2\mu_o} \nabla \left( B^2 \right)$$

(1)

where $\chi$ is the magnetic susceptibility of liquid oxygen ($\chi = 0.0035$ at $-183^\circ$C in S.I. units), $\mu_o$ the magnetic permeability of vacuum and $B$ the modulus of the magnetic field. The quadratic dependence with the magnetic field comes from the interaction between the imposed field $B$ and the induced magnetization of liquid oxygen, proportional to $B$. This conservative force derives from a magnetic energy:

$$E_m = -\chi B^2/2\mu_o$$

(2)

which is always negative for liquid oxygen and proportional to the square of the magnetic field, meaning that the drop is equally attracted by both poles of a magnet. In our experiment, the magnet is ten times larger than the drop. The magnetic field is therefore homogeneous in the horizontal plane and only depends on the vertical coordinate. We call $z$ the distance between the magnet and the bottom of the drop. The value of the magnetic force deduced from the measurement of $B(z)$ above the magnet is reported in figure 2.

Far from the magnet ($z > 20$ mm), the magnetic force is negligible compared to the volumic weight $\rho g$. For $z \approx 10$ mm, these two forces are on the same order, and the ratio $f_m/\rho g$ can go up to five at a few millimeters from the magnet. This ratio can even be higher for smaller magnets, for which the magnetic field gradient is stronger. Since the magnetic force acts in the same direction as gravity, we define a modified capillary length $a^*$ to account for the
FIG. 2. Modulus of the magnetic force per unit volume $f_m$ (deduced from a measurement of the magnetic field), normalized by the volumic weight of the drop $\rho g$, as a function of $z$, the distance between the magnet and the bottom of the drop as sketched in the insert.

change of shape observed in figure I.

$$a^*(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\rho g + f_m(z)}}$$

(3)

This modified capillary length reduces to the standard capillary one, $a$, when $z$ is large, and it decreases as we get closer to the magnet. This explains the change of shape observed in figure I placed at $z = 1.5$ mm above the magnet where $a^* \approx 0.5$ mm, a drop of radius $R = 0.8$ mm larger than $a^*$ cannot remain spherical. If $R$ is initially larger than $a$, the drop is flat and the presence of a magnetic field changes the thickness of the puddle, which is twice the capillary length. Measuring this thickness as a function of $z$ gives us a direct measurement of $a^*(z)$, that we can compare to the value obtained from equation (3), where $f_m$ is deduced from figure 2. These results, shown in figure 3 are in good agreement with each other. The capillary length can therefore be varied continuously by a factor two without changing the surface tension nor the density of the liquid.

The exact shape of a drop of liquid oxygen in a gradient of magnetic field can be calculated using the pressure balance in the drop. In order to simplify further calculations, we place

FIG. 3. Magneto-capillary length $a^*$ as a function of $z$. The solid line is equation (3), where the magnetic force is deduced from the measurement of the magnetic field. The black dots show the half thickness $(a^* \approx h/2)$ of oxygen puddles. The dashed line represents the capillary length $a = 1.1$ mm without field.
the origin at the upper pole of the drop and use the vertical coordinate \( \zeta \), as shown in figure 4. We consider the drop as axisymmetric and defined by a profile \( r(\zeta) \) giving the radius of the drop at a given. We call \( s \) the curvilinear coordinate along \( r(\zeta) \) and \( \alpha \) the angle between the surface and the horizontal. The origin is defined at the top of the drop and the vertical axis is oriented downwards. The pressure at a height \( \zeta \) is:

\[
P(\zeta) = P(0) + \rho g \zeta + \int_0^h f_m(\zeta) d\zeta
\]  

(4)

At equilibrium, this pressure equals the capillary pressure:

\[
P(\zeta) = P_{atm} + \gamma \kappa(\zeta)
\]  

(5)

where \( \kappa(\zeta) \) is the mean curvature of the surface at a height \( \zeta \). For \( \zeta = 0 \), we obtain \( P_{atm} + \gamma \kappa_o = P(0) \), where \( \kappa_o \) is the curvature at the top of the drop. Combining equations (4) and (5) with the fact that \( f_m \) derives from the magnetic energy \( E_m \) (eq. 2), we obtain:

\[
\kappa(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta}{a^2} + \kappa_o + \frac{\chi}{2\mu_o \gamma} \left[ B^2(\zeta) - B^2(0) \right]
\]  

(6)

In order to compute the drop’s shape from this equation, we use the relationship \( \kappa(\zeta) = d\alpha/ds + \sin \alpha/r \), combined with \( dr/ds = \cos \alpha \) and \( d\zeta/ds = \sin \alpha \). Hence, we obtain a system of three differential equations for \( \alpha \), \( r \) and \( \zeta \) that we can solve numerically and compare to the measured profiles. The comparison is presented in figure 5. For that, we first adjust the value of the curvature \( \kappa_o \) at the top to fit the shape of the drop in the absence of magnet (red curve). From this fit, we deduce the volume of the drop. We then calculate the shape of a drop of same volume in the presence of a gradient in magnetic field (black curve): the value of \( B(\zeta) \) used in the calculation comes from the measurement performed with the Teslameter.

In the presence of a very large gradient of magnetic field, we could expect the magnetic force to be higher at the bottom of the drop than at the top, inducing special deformation: drops highly curved at the bottom could then have an apparent contact angle lower than 180°. Yet, the magnetic field decreases on a centimetric length-scale (fig. 2), which is much larger than the millimetric drop thickness. The variation of the magnetic energy is thus quasi-linear and the observed shapes are comparable to gravitational puddles, as seen in figure 5.
FIG. 5. Shape of an oxygen drop of volume $\Omega = 1.2 \, \text{mm}^3$ in the absence (red) and presence (black) of a gradient of squared magnetic field of $40 \, \text{T}^2/\text{m}$ ($z = 1.5 \, \text{mm}$). Solid lines are solutions of equation (6) and dots are obtained from photographs.

III. CAPTURING DROPS

Since there is no contact between liquid oxygen and its support, friction in the Leidenfrost state is almost inexistant: several meters are needed to observe the deceleration of a millimetric Leidenfrost drop thrown on a horizontal surface at a few tens of cm/s\textsuperscript{15}. Oxygen drops being sensitive to magnetic fields, it is natural to wonder whether and how magnetic traps can affect their mobility. To answer these questions, the following experiment is conducted: an oxygen drop of typical radius $R = 1 \, \text{mm}$ is thrown tangentially at a velocity $V$ on a horizontal square glass plate of side 10 cm and thickness 2 mm, under which is placed a parallelepipedic neodymium magnet (square cross-section of 1 cm$^2$ and length of 4 cm), perpendicularly to the trajectory of the drop. Figure 6a is a spatio-temporal picture of such an experiment, seen from above, for which the drop arrives in the magnetic trap at a velocity $V = 20 \, \text{cm/s}$, and it is successively accelerated and decelerated above the magnet, which it leaves at a velocity $V' = 12 \, \text{cm/s}$. Figure 6b is a velocity-time graph for which the drop arrives in the magnetic trap at a velocity $V = 20 \, \text{cm/s}$, and it is successively accelerated and decelerated above the magnet, which it leaves at a velocity $V' = 12 \, \text{cm/s}$. Figure 6c is a velocity-time graph for which the drop arrives in the magnetic trap at a velocity $V = 20 \, \text{cm/s}$, and it is successively accelerated and decelerated above the magnet, which it leaves at a velocity $V' = 12 \, \text{cm/s}$.

FIG. 6. a) Series of pictures of an oxygen drop of radius $R = 1 \, \text{mm}$ passing above a parallelepipedic magnet of width 1 cm indicated by the vertical grey lines and seen from above. Time increases from top to bottom ($\Delta t = 25 \, \text{ms}$ between two images). The drop is deformed above the magnet and it comes out of the magnetic trap significantly slowed down, as seen from the change of slope of the dotted lines. b) Velocity of the drop as a function of time. The drop arrives at $V = 20 \, \text{cm/s}$, and it is successively accelerated and decelerated above the magnet, which it leaves at a velocity $V' = 12 \, \text{cm/s}$. c) Measurement of the velocity of a drop passing above a series of three magnets (indicated by their number). The drop loses some speed at each magnet and it is finally captured above the third magnet: the velocity goes to zero and the drop then oscillates in the trap.
$V = 20 \text{ cm/s}$. We deduce in figure 6b the oxygen velocity as a function of time during the experiment. We observe a weak deceleration far from the magnet, that can be estimated by measuring the slope for data between $t = 0 \text{ s}$ and $t = 0.15 \text{ s}$ (dashed line in figure 6b), equal to $6 \text{ cm/s}^2$ and corresponding to a friction of order $1 \mu \text{N}$ probably due to the surrounding air. As the drop gets closer to the magnet, it first accelerates to reach a velocity of about $60 \text{ cm/s}$; then it decelerates, and eventually leaves the trap at $V' = 12 \text{ cm/s}$, clearly below the velocity expected without magnet. Passing across the trap produces a significant loss of kinetic energy, by a factor of order 4 in this example.

The same experiment is done using a series of parallel magnets (fig. 6c). A certain amount of kinetic energy is lost above each magnet, so that the drop can be captured when its inertia becomes weaker than the magnetic attraction. The drop is then trapped and oscillates above the magnet. This experiment is repeated for various initial velocities between 1 and $60 \text{ cm/s}$. Figure 7 summarizes these results, representing for each magnetic trap the exit velocity $V'$ as a function of the entrance velocity $V$. There is a critical velocity $V^* = 13.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ cm/s}$ below which the drop is not able to escape ($V' = 0$). Above this value, the difference $\Delta V = V - V'$ decreases as $V$ increases, so that $\Delta V/V$ tends to zero for $V \gg V^*$.

![Graph showing exit velocity $V'$ as a function of entrance velocity $V$.](image)

**FIG. 7.** Exit velocity $V'$ of an oxygen drop of radius $R = 1 \text{ mm}$ escaping a magnetic trap, as a function of its initial velocity $V$. We observe a critical velocity $V^* = 13.5 \text{ cm/s}$ below which the drop is captured by the magnet ($V' = 0$). The solid line represents equation 8, and the grey thin line is $V' = V$.

As it crosses the magnet (of centimeter-size), a drop loses a negligible amount of momentum ($\Delta V/V \approx 1\%$) because of friction due to the surrounding air. The special dissipation in the trap rather seems to originate from the deformations in the field, which are not converted back into horizontal velocity when the drop leaves the magnet but relax into vibration modes, which are eventually damped. This is obvious when looking at experiments from the side, as shown in figure 8: the drop is flattened above the magnet and then it retracts to recover its rounded shape, which induces large vibrations and even lead in that case to a small jump, showing that the energy transferred into deformation can, at best, be converted into vertical kinetic energy.

We assume that the energy $E_d$ stored into deformation gets lost, which yields a critical velocity for which the drop cannot escape $V^* = \sqrt{2E_d/m}$, where $m$ is the mass of the drop. To evaluate $E_d$, we look at the maximal radius $R_{max}$ achieved by the drop while crossing a magnet. We measure a ratio $R_{max}/R = 1.3$, which does not seem to depend on the drop velocity for $V$ between 1 and $60 \text{ cm/s}$. This value is similar to the one expected in a static situation: the numerical resolution of equation 6 at $z = 2 \text{ mm}$ above the same magnet gives...
FIG. 8. Side view chronophotograph of an oxygen drop of radius \( R = 1 \) mm passing above the magnet (black rectangle below the glass plate) at a velocity \( V = 60 \) cm/s. Time interval between successive photos: 8 ms.

\[ R_{\text{max}}/R \approx 1.26. \] The deformation is thus equivalent when the drop crosses the magnet and when it is at rest in a similar magnetic field. Of course, if the time needed to deform the drop \( \tau_1 \approx \sqrt{\rho R^3/\gamma} \) becomes shorter than the time needed to cross the magnet \( \tau_2 \approx L/V \) (where \( L \) is the size of the magnet), the drop would not have time to reach its maximal deformation. This would be the case for drops with a velocity \( V > \sqrt{\gamma L^2/\rho R^3} \approx 1 \) m/s, a value that is never reached in our experiments.

The surface energy stored in deformation is \( E_d \approx \gamma \delta \Sigma \), where \( \delta \Sigma \) is the increase in surface area of the drop. For a spherical droplet, the variation of surface area is of order \( \delta \Sigma \approx 4\pi (2R\delta R + \delta R^2) \), where \( \delta R = R_{\text{max}} - R \). For small deformations (\( \delta R < R \)), we obtain \( E_d \approx 8\pi \gamma R \delta R \), which gives a critical velocity:

\[ V^* \approx \sqrt{\frac{6\gamma \delta R}{\rho R^2}} = 13 \text{ cm/s} \] (7)

in good agreement with the measured value of 13.5 cm/s. Above this threshold velocity, the drop is able to escape but loses a certain amount of kinetic energy which is always the same since \( \delta R \) does not depend on the velocity, in the range we explored. The terminal velocity \( V' \) can then be written:

\[ V' = \sqrt{V^2 - V^{**}} \] (8)

This equation is plotted in figure 7 with \( V^* = 13.5 \) cm/s and matches the measurements: it predicts in particular a critical behavior near \( V = V^* \) and an asymptotic behavior (\( V' \sim V \)) in the limit \( V \gg V^* \).

One way to test the scaling law (7) for \( V^* \) is to vary \( \delta R \) by changing the distance between the magnet and the glass plate. We used five different distances \( z \), between 0.3 mm and 3 mm, and measured for each of them \( R_{\text{max}} \) and \( V^* \). Deformation as high as \( R_{\text{max}}/R \approx 2 \) were obtained, for which the critical velocity \( V^* \) is approximately four times higher than before, as shown in figure 9. Since \( \delta R \sim R \), the approximation of small deformation is not valid anymore. In that regime, the equation for the critical velocity becomes:

\[ V^* \approx \sqrt{\frac{3\gamma}{\rho R} \left( 2 \left( \frac{R_{\text{max}}}{R} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{R_{\text{max}}}{R} - 1 \right)^2 \right)} \] (9)

The dotted line in figure 9 represents this equation where the velocity \( \sqrt{3\gamma/\rho R} \) is treated as an adjustable parameter. The best fit is obtained for a value of 19.5 cm/s, consistent with the expected order of magnitude, that is, 10 cm/s.
FIG. 9. Critical velocity $V^*$ as a function of the deformation $R_{max}/R$. The dashed line represents equation 9 with $(3\gamma/\rho R)^{1/2} = 19.5 \text{ cm/s}$, adjusted to fit the data.

Imposing a large deformation to the drop leads to a significant loss of kinetic energy. If the deformation is small, we saw that it is similar to the one observed in a static case. This is not true anymore for large deformations, as can be seen in figure 10 showing a drop passing at $z = 0.5 \text{ mm}$ above the magnet. We observe the formation of a rim, comparable to the shape of a bouncing drop, which is clearly not expected in a static situation.

FIG. 10. Top view chronophotograph of an oxygen drop of radius $R = 1 \text{ mm}$ passing above a magnet located at $z = 0.5 \text{ mm}$ below the liquid, and indicated by the white lines. Initial velocity of the drop is $V = 48 \text{ cm/s}$. The variation of $R$ in the three first pictures is due to small initial vibration of the drop. Interval between images: 10 ms

We measure a deformation $\delta R \sim R = 1 \text{ mm}$ much larger than the static value of $\delta R \approx 0.3 \text{ mm}$ given by the resolution of equation 6 in the same field. The expansion takes place in approximately $\delta t \sim 10 \text{ ms}$, which gives a characteristic internal velocity of 10 cm/s. The energy of this flow is thus of order $\rho (R^2/\delta t^2) R^3$, that we can compare to the surface energy $\gamma \delta \Sigma \sim \gamma R^2$. Their ratio gives a Weber number of order unity for $\rho = 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$, $R = 1 \text{ mm}$ and $\gamma \sim 10 \text{ mN/m}$:

$$\text{We} \sim \frac{\rho R^3}{\gamma \delta t^2} \sim 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The inertia of the fluid is thus on the order of surface forces which explains why the radius of the drop can become larger than in the static case. In the regime of small deformation, mainly studied here, this number is one order of magnitude smaller, so that equations 7 and 8 can be applied.
IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we showed how drops of liquid oxygen can be deformed using a magnetic field. We characterized the magnetic force and showed that it can be several times higher than gravity and hence flatten the drop and induce a transition between a sphere and a puddle. This effect has been accounted for by the modification of the capillary length in the presence of a magnetic force. We determined the equation for the shape of a drop in the presence of a vertically varying magnetic field and verified its validity by comparing the results to the observed shapes.

We also pointed out that the deformation can explain the strong deceleration of oxygen drops passing above a magnet. The surface energy stored in deformation is not recovered into kinetic energy, but is rather converted into surface vibrations, that are eventually damped. Hence, although the magnetic force is conservative, it can induce dissipation because of the deformability of the drop. We show that there is a critical velocity below which the drop is not able to escape from the magnet’s attraction. We proposed a scaling law that accounts for it. The magnets we used allow to rapidly impose large deformations to the liquid: we observed cases where a rim appears during the deformation, such as those observed with drops impacting a solid surface.

This simple system allows to probe the dynamics of a liquid drop in a very controlled and non-intrusive way. One can imagine other experiments in the same vein using liquid oxygen or other magnetic fluids such as aqueous ferrofluids in the Leidenfrost state: imposing an oscillating magnetic field could be used for example to study the vibration modes of a droplet. A revolving field would permit the rotation (and subsequent deformation) of a liquid. Finally, this kind of control might be of high interest in application to aerospace engineering (where liquid oxygen is used as a propellant in combination with liquid hydrogen or kerosene), to control the position of liquid oxygen near the outlet of a rocket combustion chamber.
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